# Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems Task Force Meeting March 11, 2010

Call to Order Welcome Introductions

**Cathleen Barton Task Force Chair** 



# Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems Task Force Meeting March 11, 2010

Update and Discussion: National Student Clearing House – Student Tracker

Dan Anderson Donald Houde Paul Neuman Miguel Corzo



## Update and Discussion: National Student Clearing House – Student Tracker

#### REPORT OF INITIAL SCHOOL OF ENROLLMENT SAMPLE CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL

Date of Report: December 1, 2007

_	Year of High School Graduation										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Total				
Total # High School Graduates	400	420	435	455	480	500	2690				
Total College Enrolled % of High School Graduates	248 62.0%	265 63.0%	283 65.0%	305 67.0%	326 68.0%	350 70.0%	1777 68.0%				
By College Type:											
Less Than 2 Year 2 Yr. Public 2 Yr. Private 4 Yr. Public 4 Yr. Private	12 41 9 149 37	13 42 11 159 40	14 47 10 170 42	15 51 10 183 46	16 53 12 196 49	18 57 13 210 53	89 291 65 1066 266				
By Enrollment Status:											
Full-Time Half-Time Less Than Half-Time	159 77 12	169 82 13	181 88 14	195 95 15	209 101 16	224 109 18	1137 551 89				
By Location:											
In-State Out-of-State	186 62	198 66	212 71	229 76	245 82	263 88	1332 444				
Top Schools:											
1 Finest State University 2 Community College of the County 3 Learned College 4 City Community College 5 University of Knowledge 6 University of Books 7 Studious University 8 City Institute of Technology 9 Library College 10 Great State Universityetc.	47 35 20 10 10 9 9 7 6	50 37 21 11 11 10 9 7 7	54 40 23 12 11 11 10 8 7 6	58 43 24 12 12 12 11 9 8	62 46 26 13 13 12 11 9 8 7	67 49 28 14 14 13 12 10 9	338 249 142 73 71 68 62 50 44 36				

Note: This report reflects students' first-time enrollment in postsecondary institutions after graduation from high school.

## **Update and Discussion: National Student Clearing House – Student Tracker**

#### REPORT OF CURRENT SCHOOL OF ENROLLMENT SAMPLE CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL

Date of Report: December 1, 2007

	Year of High School Graduation											
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Total					
Total # High School Graduates	400	420	435	455	480	500	2690					
Total College Enrolled % of High School Graduates	37 9%	105 25%	166 38%	198 44%	240 50%	350 70%	1097 41%					
By College Type:												
Less Than 2 Year 2 Yr. Public 2 Yr. Private 4 Yr. Public 4 Yr. Private	0 3 1 30 4	0 8 2 79 16	1 10 2 119 34	4 13 5 137 39	5 24 10 157 44	18 58 12 210 53	28 116 32 732 189					
By Enrollment Status:												
Full-Time Half-Time Less Than Half-Time	24 12 2	67 33 5	106 51 8	127 61 10	154 74 12	224 109 18	702 340 55					
By Location:												
In-State Out-of-State	28 9	79 26	124 41	149 50	180 60	263 88	822 274					
Top Schools:												
1 Finest State University	9	25	38	44	50	67	233					
<ol> <li>Community College of the County</li> <li>Learned College</li> </ol>	3 2	7 8	8 18	15 21	30 23	49 28	112 100					
4 University of Knowledge	2	5	8	9	10	14	48					
5 University of Books 6 Studious University	2 2 2	5 5	7 7	8 8	10 9	13 12	45 43					
7 City Community College	1	2	2	4	8	14	31					
8 Library College	- 1	3	6	7	7	9	33					
9 Great State University	i	2 3 2	2	7 3 2	3	7	18					
<ol> <li>City Institute of Technologyetc.</li> </ol>	0	0	1	2	3	10	16					

Note: This report reflects students' enrollment in postsecondary institutions as of the date of this report.

## Update and Discussion: National Student Clearing House – Student Tracker

#### GRADUATION REPORT SAMPLE CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL

Date of Report: December 1, 2007

	Year of High School Graduation										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Total				
Total # High School Graduates	400	420	435	455	480	500	2,690				
Total College Graduates % of High School Graduates	133 33.3%	137 32.6%	84 19.3%	26 5.7%	24 4.9%	10 2.0%	413 15.4%				
By College Type:											
Less Than 2 Year 2 Yr. Public 2 Yr. Private 4 Yr. Public 4 Yr. Private By Location: In-State Out-of-State	8 9 1 88 27 100 33	9 10 1 89 28	9 11 2 40 22 63 21	10 14 2 0 0	11 12 1 0 0	10 0 0 0 0 0	56 56 7 217 77 319 94				
Top Schools: 1 Finest State University 2 Community College of the County 3 City Institute of Technology 4 Learned College 5 University of Knowledge 6 University of Books 7 City Community College 8 Studious University 9 Library College 10 Great State Universityetc.	27 8 7 18 6 6 2 3 1	28 9 8 18 6 6 2 3 1	13 11 8 8 2 2 2 1 1	0 15 9 0 0 0 4 0	0 13 10 0 0 0 3 0	0 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0	68 56 51 44 14 13 7 3 0				

Note: This report reflects students' most recent graduation from a postsecondary institution as of the date of the report.

## Update and Discussion: National Student Clearing House – Student Tracker

#### STUDENT DETAIL DATA SAMPLE\* SAMPLE CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL

Your Unique ID	First	Nar Mid		Suf.	Requestor Return Field			High School Grad Date	College Code	College Name	College State		Public/ Private	Period of Enrollment	Enroll Status	Grad?	Grad Date	Degree Title	Major
9876543210	SUSAN	м	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	222222-00	LOCAL COMMUNITY COLI	NY	2	Public	20020823 20021215	F	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	222222-00	LOCAL COMMUNITY COLI	NY	2	Public	20030118 20030510	F	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	222222-00	LOCAL COMMUNITY COLI	NY	2	Public	20030828 20031220	F	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	222222-00	LOCAL COMMUNITY COLI	NY	2	Public	20040122 20040516	F	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	654321-00	LEARNED COLLEGE	CT	4	Private	20040827 20041219	н	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	654321-00	LEARNED COLLEGE	CT	4	Private	20050122 20050515	н	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	654321-00	LEARNED COLLEGE	CT	4	Private	20050826 20051218	н	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	654321-00	LEARNED COLLEGE	CT	4	Private	20060121 20060514	F	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	M	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	654321-00	LEARNED COLLEGE	CT	4	Private	20060825 20061217	F	N			
9876543210	SUSAN	М	SMART	г	CBA321	Y	100001	20020501	654321-00	LEARNED COLLEGE	CT	4	Private	20070120 20070515	F	Y	20070515	BA	HISTORY
5675675675	NANCY	Р	змітн		MNO578	Y	100001	20030501	011462-00	CITY INSTITUTE OF TECH	TX	4	Private	20030901 20031231	F	N			
5675675675					MNO578	Y	100001			CITY INSTITUTE OF TECH		4	Private	20030901 20031231	w	N			
1029384757	FRANK	z	JONES		BLA729	N	100001	20040515											

"NOTE: The three examples above are of students who exhibit the following characteristics:

- 1. Susan Smart enrolled in college and persisted through graduation.
- 2. Nancy Smith enrolled in college and withdrew before graduating.
- 3. Frank Jones did not enroll in a college that participates in the Clearinghouse.

This worksheet offers a sample of the student-level detail data that you will receive electronically from the Clearinghouse.

# Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems Task Force Meeting March 11, 2010

Update and Discussion: SFSF Grant Application

**Debra Raeder** 



### P-20 Longitudinal Data Collection

What we must do to ensure that progress is being made:

Ensure that the state's system includes all 12 data elements outlined in federal law (student i.d., teacher i.d, transcripts, demographic information, etc)

Ensure that the State provides teachers with AIMS data and reports of individual teacher impact on student achievement.

Ensure that the State provides student growth data to teachers in a timely manner and informs instructional programs.

## Implementation of all 12 data elements specified by the America Competes Act

- 1 A unique statewide student identifier that does not permit a student to be individually identified by users of the system
- 2 Student-level enrollment, demographic, and program participation information
- 3 Student-level information about the points at which students exit, transfer in, transfer out, drop out, or complete P-16 education programs
- The capacity to communicate with higher education data systems
- A State data audit system assessing data quality, validity, and reliability
- Yearly test records of individual students with respect to assessments under section 1111(b) of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 6311(b))

- Information on students not tested by grade and subject
- 8 A teacher identifier system with the ability to match teachers to students
- 9 Student-level transcript information, including information on courses completed and grades earned
- 10 Student-level college readiness test scores
- Information regarding the extent to which students transition successfully from secondary school to postsecondary education, including whether students enroll in remedial coursework
- 12 Other information determined necessary to address alignment and adequate preparation for success in postsecondary education



Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems and Use
Task Force Meeting
March 11, 2010

**Update and Discussion Governance Structure** 

Cathleen Barton Donald Houde



#### **Governance Structure**

#### **Subtask 1: Create Data Governance Organization**

- 4.1.1 Establish a data governance board that will represent all stakeholders (universities, LEAs, county superintendents, state universities, community colleges, state agencies and BIE, etc.), set and approve guidelines related to managed data access, privacy and security, adequacy of training and data model implementation, prioritization of funding opportunities recommended by governance support groups, and resolve data conflicts.
- 4.1.2 Establish a technology governance support group to provide recommendations on spending priorities of this grant award. It will also provide analysis and recommendations for: controlling data confidentiality and data security for stored data and data-in-flight (in transmission state); access privileges (who can see what) and access management (granting and denying); data audit management including data quality metrics, sanctions and incentives for data quality improvement; data standards for both stored and in-flight data, including definition, format, source, provenance, element level and contextual integrity rules; documentation standards for data elements (data dictionary) and systems components; data archival and retrieval management system, along with change control and change tracking. If AEDW technology does not successfully support a critical requirement, the governance group will provide an analytic recommendation.

Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems and Use
Task Force Meeting
March 11, 2010

Status Update SLDS Grant Application

**Donald Houde** 



### Five areas of focus in proposed SLDS plan

### Expansion of data collection processes to provide entry to exit education management<sup>1</sup>

- Collect new data & provide collection tools
  - Student-teacher link, courses, completion, concurrent college courses
  - Preschool data, incl. Head Starts, DHS Licenses, teachers, students
  - Mobile student enhanced tracking (migrant, secure care, military, inter-state, tribal)
  - Interstate data exchanges
- Re-create and re-architect data collection systems
  - EduAccess Expansion
  - Master Data Management
  - Educational funding systems
  - Student data management
- Create educational support systems
  - Statewide Student Information System (SIS) option for LEAs
- Technology Assisted Student Assessment and Education Career Action Plan (ECAP)
  - Assist teachers in monitoring student progress.
  - Link each student's interests, talents, etc., to academic planning & progress toward career lifelong goals.
- Complete school safety, discipline & non-violence intervention solution.
  - Perform audit study to assess the accuracy & reliability of data captured in AzSafe
  - Assess climate & safety at the school level from a school community perspective
  - PD & technical assistance to LEAs
  - System enhancements

### Improve and Support Student & School Performance based upon Lifelong Measures

- Post secondary success measures and evaluation integration.
- Colorado Growth Model adoption and Support
- Workforce success measure integration.
- Secure Care success measure integration
- BIE/Native American success measure integration

### Expansion of the Arizona Education Data Warehouse (AEDW)

- AEDW data transformations to match data structure changes
- Incorporate new data from outcomes 1 & 2
- Incorporate post secondary student data
- Portal enhancement

## Establish data governance, training, communications organization<sup>2</sup>

- Data capture & submission stand
- Data use governance
- Intra & inter-agency SLDS committee
- SLDS & data submission standards training

### Expand Technology Infrastructure

- Technology, tools, infrastructure and support for new systems
- Expand DW technology infrastructure
- Expand ADE technology infrastructure
- Provide tools and support to LEAs

<sup>1.</sup> Includes/requires development of governance policies and management structures for Early Childhood, Post Secondary, Education Entities; funding for local education agency (LEA) system enhancements; interstate data collaboration; work in partnership with the CCSSO LEARN, NCES and SHIO initiatives; and operational and Infrastructure enhancements

<sup>2.</sup> This organization has to also enhance stakeholder engagement and monitor and manage training remediation needs

Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems and Use
Task Force Meeting
March 11, 2010

**Additional Questions and Comments** 

**Task Force Members** 



Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems and Use
Task Force Meeting
March 11, 2010

Call to the Public Adjournment

Cathleen Barton Task Force Chair

